Headquarters U.S. Air Force

Integrity - Service - Excellence

CE and Competitive Sourcing



Mr. Larry Dubbert HQ AF/ILEXO 28 Aug 01





....could be compared to riding a roller coaster where the cars are not connected....

From a BCE



Overview

- Current Environment
- Goals
- Strategies
- Challenges
- Future



Current Environment



Influences

Mar 2001 OMB letter

- President's commitment to open 1/2 of FAIR Act positions to competition with private sector
- Directs all agencies to complete public-private competitions or direct conversions of at least 5% of the FAIR FTEs by FY 2002

■ PBD 824

Discussion on-going on how the targets will impact the Air Force



Jump Start

- 1997 large round of CS candidates identified
- AF Approach
 - Scrub AFSs
 - Target non-military essential
 - HAF/MAJCOMs identify functions that can or can't be outsourced
- Purpose
 - Identify potential candidates
 - Commitments
 - Develop functional strategy



Defense Reform Initiative Directive (DRID) #20

- DoD Initiative
- Code Every Authorization in the UMD
 - Inherently Governmental
 - Commercial Activity Exempt from A-76
 - Commercial Activity That Should Be Competed
- Included Jump Start positions +
- Executing program set up by these and other initiatives
- Studies announced 1997



Goals



Goals

- Prime Goal support Air Force goals by reducing costs and improving performance of base Civil Engineer activities
- Competitive sourcing is not a new concept to CE
 - Done for years with such things as construction, custodial, elevator maintenance, oil-water separator maintenance, housing maintenance



Strategies



- CE Picture
 - Approximately 10,000 positions
 - Resulted from DRID 20 + Jump Start
 - Coded as pending contract award, pending cost comparison, or subject to review
- An additional 680 positions under UP



- Go After Larger Opportunities Consolidate
 Warfighter Requirements At Deployment Bases
- Warfighter Tasked Bases -- cost compare smaller work centers within readiness constraints
- Move UTCs from non-deploying to deploying bases
- Non-Warfighter Tasked Bases -- entire functions every possible support function - bigger the package the greater the savings - cost compare entire squadron at non-UTC bases

AFMC and AETC: UTC's concentrated

ACC: Offutt

AMC: MacDill

Limited reengineering



- Consider for CC regardless of readiness
 - Manned Heating Plants/Refuse Collection/Housing Maintenance/Housing Management/Real Estate Management/Software and User Support
- Compare within readiness constraints
 - Real Property Maintenance, Repair, and Modification/Base Comprehensive Plan/Contract Program/Project Design/Construction Management/Pollution Prevention Program/Logistic Support/Readiness Planning, Training, Equipment Management, and Exercise Participation



- Don't Cost Compare
 - QAE/Environmental Advisor/Fire Protection Flight/EOD Flight
- Strategy joint development of major commands, headquarters, and AFCESA



CS Options

- OMB Circular A-76 Cost Comparison (CC)
 - AETC Pick-a-Bases
 - AFMC centers
- Direct Conversion to contract
 - NIB/NISH
 - Native American Owned
 - Kirtland
 - MacDill
- Mix of best value and low price



CS Options

- Execution rests with the Major Commands and installations
 - What works best for unique requirements
- Working the commitments
 - Heavy investment of time by the process owners and functionals



Large Studies

- Operations Flights
 - Tyndall AFB
 - Columbus AFB
- **CE Squadrons**
 - MacDill AFB
 - Kirtland AFB
 - Tinker AFB
 - Wright-Patterson AFB



Large Studies

BOS

- Maxwell AFB AETC
- Lackland AFB AETC
- Keesler AFB AETC
- Sheppard AFB AETC
- Offutt AFB ACC





- The personal cost to units under A-76 is high
- View dependent on where you are in process
 - Challenges to organizations under study
 - Stresses organization under when coming in unit has faced issues for up to 4 years



- High Turnover in large studies CE one of the most heavily impacted due to numbers under study
 - Difficulty in Replacing Civilians and Military (Term and Temporary Civilians, Military Frozen)
 - Civilian and military personnel will have had varying success in meeting the personnel needs of the organization
 - Advertise downtown
 - Ask for policy waivers on filling positions limited permanent hires
 - Limited inbounds for military if at 100% prior to freeze, a plus



Motivation

- Communication with workforce important
- Many unaware of what is going on only know it affects them
 - Finally at end, now what
- Process appears secretive to many difficult to decide how to personally proceed with limited knowledge
- Customer issues no one likes surprises



- Already tight personnel numbers even more so while working study (MEO, PRD development)
 - Example 1: 17 total on MEO many others pulled in for different tasks over 9 months
 - **■** Example 2: At peak, 40 people
 - Example 3: 6-8 CE personnel + Dep Chief of Ops as team chief
 - **Example 4: 2 MEO Team chiefs were Deputy BCEs**
 - Just part of one MEO team FSC director, 2 engineers and the resources chief, Deputy Chief of Transportation, Technical Director and Chief of Plans Support at Comm - all out for 9 months



- Unwavering standards for work
- Don't be surprised many base personnel (commanders, customers, organizational members) are "unaware" of how coming changes affect them
- MEO or contractor "winning" not viewed the same by everyone
- Military and civilians both impacted
 - Assignments frozen, excessive time on station, leaving your home, limited employment prospects in some locations, changing employers



Transition Challenges

- Transition to the new provider
 - Mission will not slow down
- Managing an MEO
 - How will MEO be managed so non-PRD requirements are added only with accommodation
- Availability of personnel is unclear
 - Special Equipment
 - Depends on many factors including economy in area, structure of work force, etc.
- Maintenance of in-house expertise where needed such as QA functions
- Overall is it working?



Process Challenges

- PWS quality crucial to success
- Time line



Future





- External pressures
- Changes to law
- Readiness requirements



Summary

- We are executing incrementally the program set in place in the last few years
- Difficulties with process have created opposing pressures
 - Some want more studies
 - Others more review before we proceed
- Huge impact on people and culture
- Most reviewable functions that remain are small



Questions